notifying the public of our intent to revoke the following antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations for which the Department has not received a request to conduct an administrative review for the most recent four consecutive annual anniversary months:

Antidumping Proceeding

Brazi

Certain Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings

A-351-602

51 FR 45152

December 17, 1986

Contact: Thomas Schauer at (202) 482–4852

Germany

Animal Glue and Inedible Gelatin

A-428-062

42 FR 64116

December 22, 1977

Contact: Arthur N. DuBois at (202) 482–6312

Japan

Cellular Mobile Telephones and

Subassemblies

A-588-405

50 FR 51724

December 19, 1985

Contact: Charles Riggle at (202) 482–0650

Japan

Drafting Machines and Parts Thereof

A-588-811

54 FR 53671

December 29, 1989

Contact: Mathew Blaskovich at (202) 482–5831

Japan

Large Electric Motors

A-588-091

45 FR 84994

December 24, 1980

Contact: Elizabeth Urfer at (202) 482-

4052 Japan

Steel Wire Strand

A-588-068

43 FR 57599

December 8, 1978

Contact: Kris Campbell at (202) 482-

3813

New Zealand

Low-Fuming Brazing Copper Rod &

Wire

A-614-502

50 FR 49740

December 4, 1985

Contact: Karin Price at (202) 482-3782

Taiwar

Porcelain-On-Steel Cooking Ware

A-583-508

51 FR 43416

December 2, 1986

Contact: Amy Wei at (202) 482-1131

If no interested party requests an administrative review in accordance with the Department's notice of opportunity to request administrative review, and no domestic interested party objects to the Department's intent to revoke or terminate pursuant to this notice, we shall conclude that the antidumping duty orders, findings, and suspended investigations are no longer of interest to interested parties and shall proceed with the revocation or termination.

Opportunity To Object

Domestic interested parties, as defined in § 353.2(k)(3), (4), (5), and (6) of the Department's regulations, may object to the Department's intent to revoke these antidumping duty orders and findings or to terminate the suspended investigations by the last day of December 1995. Any submission to the Department must contain the name and case number of the proceeding and a statement that explains how the objecting party qualifies as a domestic interested party under § 353.2(k)(3), (4), (5), and (6) of the Department's regulations.

Seven copies of such objections should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room B–099, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. You must also include the pertinent certification(s) in accordance with § 353.31(g) and § 353.31(i) of the Department's regulations. In addition, the Department requests that a copy of the objection be sent to Michael F. Panfeld in Room 4203. This notice is in accordance with 19 CFR 353.25(d)(4)(i).

Dated: November 22, 1995.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance. [FR Doc. 95–29364 Filed 12–1–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

Carnegie Mellon University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 95–ŏ62. Applicant: Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model H-7100. Manufacturer: Nissei Sangyo, Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 60 FR 40823, August 10, 1995. Order Date: March 28, 1995.

Docket Number: 95–065. Applicant: University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model H-7100. Manufacturer: Hitachi Ltd., Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 60 FR 42847, August 17, 1995. Order Date: March 30, 1995.

Docket Number: 95–069. Applicant: Saint Barnabas Medical Center, Livingston, NJ 07039. Instrument: Electron Microscope, Model JEM-1210. Manufacturer: JEOL, Japan. Intended Use: See notice at 60 FR 48505, September 19, 1995. Order Date: December 27, 1994.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were ordered. Reasons: Each foreign instrument is a conventional transmission electron microscope (CTEM) and is intended for research or scientific educational uses requiring a CTEM. We know of no CTEM, or any other instrument suited to these purposes, which was being manufactured in the United States either at the time of order of each instrument or at the time of receipt of application by the U.S. Customs Service.

Frank W. Creel.

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 95–29467 Filed 12–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–F

Miami University, et al.; Notice of Consolidated Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Docket Number: 94–145R. Applicant: Miami University, Oxford, OH 45056. Instrument: Cryostage. Manufacturer: Linkham Scientific Instruments, Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 60 FR 442, January 4, 1995. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) an on-line video image analysis system with ability to perform calibrations, line measurements, angle measurements and circle area measurements, (2) minimum cooling/ warming rate of 0.01°C/min and (3) a nitrogen purge chamber surrounding the cryostage and sample. Advice Received From: National Institutes of Health, September 21, 1995.

Docket Number: 95–012. Applicant: University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-4767. Instrument: Electron Microprobe, Model SX50. Manufacturer: Cameca, France. Intended Use: See notice at 60 FR 16618, March 31, 1995. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) a co-axial high resolution light optical microscope, (2) multiple vertically mounted wave length dispersive spectrometers with Rowland circle radius of 160mm and (3) an automated optical encoded precision stage for sample relocation to <0.5 microns. Advice Received From: National Institutes of Health, April 28, 1995.

Docket Number: 95–055. Applicant:
Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755-3571. Instrument: Thermal Ionization
Mass Spectrometer, Model MAT 262/
RPQ. Manufacturer: Finnigan MAT,
Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 60
FR 39711, August 3, 1995. Reasons: The
foreign instrument provides magnetic
sector performance with an inductivelycoupled plasma ion source for an
isotope ratio sensitivity of 0.005%.
Advice Received From: National
Institutes of Health, September 22, 1995.

The National Institutes of Health advises that (1) the capabilities of each of the foreign instruments described above are pertinent to each applicant's intended purpose and (2) they know of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the intended use of each instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign instruments.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 95–29363 Filed 12–1–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-F

Texas A&M University, Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 95–073. Applicant: Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843. Instrument: Automatic Carbonate Preparation Device, Model Kiel II. Manufacturer: Finnigan MAT, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 60 FR 48506, September 19, 1995.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: This is a compatible accessory for an instrument previously imported for the use of the applicant.

The accessory is pertinent to the intended uses and we know of no domestic accessory which can be readily adapted to the previously imported instrument.

Frank W. Creel,
Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.
[FR Doc. 95–29466 Filed 12–1–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS-F

Minority Business Development Agency

Business Development Center Applications: West Palm Beach, Florida

AGENCY: Minority Business Development Agency, Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Executive Order 11625 and 15 U.S.C. 1512, the Minority Business Development Agency (MBDA) is soliciting competitive applications from organizations to operate the West Palm Beach Minority Business Development Center (MBDC).

The purpose of the MBDC Program is to provide business development services to the minority business community to help establish and maintain viable minority businesses. To this end, MBDA funds organizations to identify and coordinate public and private sector resources on behalf of minority individuals and firms; to offer

a full range of client services to minority entrepreneurs; and to serve as a conduit of information and assistance regarding minority business. The MBDC will provide service in the West Palm Beach, Florida Metropolitan Area. The award number of the MBDC will be 04–10–96003–01.

DATES: The closing date for applications is January 3, 1996. Applications must be received in the MBDA Headquarters' Executive Secretariat on or before January 3, 1996. A pre-application conference will be held on December 20, 1995, at 9 a.m., at the Atlanta Regional Office, 401 W. Peachtree Street, N.W., Suite 1715, Atlanta, Georgia 30308-3516, (404) 730-3300. Proper identification is required for entrance into any Federal building. **ADDRESSES:** Completed application packages should be submitted to the U.S. Department of Commerce, Minority Business Development Agency, Executive Secretariat, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 5073, Washington, D.C. 20230.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION AND AN APPLICATION PACKAGE, CONTACT: Robert Henderson at (404) 730–3300.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Contingent upon the availability of Federal funds, the cost of performance for the first budget period (13 months) from April 1, 1996 to April 30, 1997, is estimated at \$198,971. The total Federal amount is \$169,125 and is composed of \$165,000 plus the Audit Fee amount of \$4,125. The application must include a minimum cost share of 15%, \$29,846 in non-federal (cost-sharing) contributions for a total project cost of \$198,971. Cost-sharing contributions may be in the form of cash, client fees, third party in-kind contributions, non-cash applicant contributions or combinations thereof.

The funding instrument for this project will be a cooperative agreement. If the recommended applicant is the current incumbent organization, the award will be for 12 months. For those applicants who are not incumbent organizations or who are incumbents that have experienced closure due to a break in service, a 30-day start-up period will be added to their first budget period, making it a 13-month award. Competition is open to individuals, non-profit and for-profit organizations, state and local governments, American Indian tribes and educational institutions.

Applications will be evaluated on the following criteria: the knowledge, background and/or capabilities of the firm and its staff in addressing the needs of the business community in general and, specifically, the special needs of